



PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE
(RFP N65540-03-R-0015)

Contractor to be evaluated:

Customer:

Contract Number:

Period of Performance:

_____ has proposed on a cost plus fixed fee indefinite delivery indefinite quantity solicitation from the Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division Philadelphia, PA for Engineering and Technical Services for the design, test / evaluation and total life cycle engineering and logistic support for Submarine and Surface Craft Hull Mechanical, Electrical and Electronic Systems.

Past performance is an extremely important part of the evaluation criteria for this acquisition.

Please provide an honest assessment and return by _____.

Fax to: Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division Philadelphia

Name: Robert Colot
Fax Number: 215-897-7994

Contract Type:

(check all that apply)

Negotiated_____

Sealed Bid_____

Fixed Price_____

Indefinite Quantity Indefinite Delivery _____

Cost Reimbursement _____

Other_____

Complexity of Work: Difficult _____

Routine_____

Ratings: Summarize Contractor performance and circle the appropriate performance rating for each category. An explanation of the rating scale is provided below.

Outstanding

Contractor performance in this area exceeded contract requirements for a significant amount of the time.

Good

Contractor performance in this area generally met and sometimes exceeded contract requirements.

Satisfactory

The contractor generally met contract requirements in this area but experienced some problems.

Unsatisfactory

The contractor experienced several significant problems in this area during contract performance.

1. QUALITY OF PRODUCT: Evaluate the contractor's performance with respect to compliance with contract requirements, accuracy of reports, appropriateness of personnel, technical excellence.

Rating:

Outstanding

Good

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Comments:

2. COST CONTROL: Evaluate the contractor's performance with respect to accurately and realistically estimating costs and managing and controlling costs.

Rating:

Outstanding Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Comments:

3. TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE: Met interim milestones, responsive to technical direction, completed on time.

Rating:

Outstanding Good Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Comments:

Would you select this contractor again? Please explain your answer.

Please provide any other relevant information on performance under the cited contract:

I hereby certify that the information provided is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Respondent's Name and Title: _____
(print or type)

Company: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Phone Number: _____ Fax Number: _____